PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	<u> </u>	see Form PCT/ISA/220
76.0833WO/BC	ACTION		as, where applicable, Item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB2004/002255	12/07/2004		11/07/2003
Applicant	12/01/2004		11/0//2003
7-7-1-1-1			
AXALTO			
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sea Insmitted to the International Bureau	rching Auth J.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofshe	ets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document c	ited in this	report.
1. Basis of the report			
a. With regard to the language, the i language in which it was filed, unle	nternational search was carried out ess otherwise indicated under this it	on the basi em.	is of the international application in the
The international sthis Authority (Rule	search was carried out on the basis e 23.1(b)).	of a transla	tion of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed i	n the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were four	d unsearchable (See Box II).		
3. Unity of invention is lack	ing (see Box III).		
4. With regard to the title,			
the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant.		
X the text has been establish	ed by this Authority to read as follow	vs:	
			RTABLE MEMORY, AND RELAYING THE PORTABLE MEMORY OF THE
FIRST DEVICE BY A SECON	ND DEVICE		
5. With regard to the abstract,			
X the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant.		
the text has been establish	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by thi	s Authority	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month from	i the date of mailing of this internation	onal search	report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the drawings,			
a. the figure of the drawings to be pu	blished with the abstract is Figure N	o. <u>3</u>	
X as suggested by the	e applicant.		
=	Authority, because the applicant fail	•••	<u>-</u>
<u> </u>	Authority, because this figure better	characteria	zes the invention.
b none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 1, INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/B2004/002255 12.07.2004 11.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G07F7/10, H04M1/725 Applicant **AXALTO** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Hanon, D

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7203



Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002255

Pay No. 1. Payland II.
Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002255

Box No. II Priority		
. Market The following document to	nas not been furnish	ed:
□ copy of the earlier	r application whose p	priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
☐ translation of the	earlier application wl	hose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		sider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has oftion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
has been found invalid (R		ority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim 1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
ming date molected above		
3	essarv:	
3	essary:	
3	essary:	
Additional observations, if nec	ement under Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or one supporting such statement
Additional observations, if neo	ement under Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or one supporting such statement
Additional observations, if nec	ement under Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement
Additional observations, if neo Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; citat Statement	ement under Rule 4 ions and explanation	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or one supporting such statement
Additional observations, if neo Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; citat Statement	ement under Rule 4 ions and explanations Yes: Claims	ons supporting such statement
Additional observations, if necessary and applicability; citated statement Novelty (N)	ement under Rule 4 ions and explanation Yes: Claims No: Claims	ons supporting such statement
Additional observations, if necessary and applicability; citated statement Novelty (N)	ement under Rule 4 ions and explanations Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims	ons supporting such statement

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

The following documents may be referred to in this communication:

- D1: US 2002/173344 A1 (CUPPS BRYAN T ET AL) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)
- D2: 'Howto VNC oder wie kann man die Inhalte des Zaurus-Bildschirms einem grösseren Publikum präsentieren ?' SHARP ELECTRONICS EUROPE GMBH, [Online] 15 January 2003 (2003-01-15), XP002263999 Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:http://www.zaurus.de/SharpServiceArea /Infoware/FAQs/Info_20030115150712/downloa d> [retrieved on 2003-12-03]

3

- D3: GB-A-2 377 776 (WIZARD MOBILE SOLUTIONS LTD) 22 January 2003 (2003-01-22)
- D4: Linux Tutorial Networking NFS.
- 1. Claim 1 lacks clarity in the sense of Article 6 PCT.

In particular, the following terms are subject to several, sometimes mutually excluding interpretations:

- a) "delivering a service using an application" may simply mean
 - "running the application", or
 - "reading the application's data or code" but may also mean
 - "contacting an external service provider such as a bank and exchanging information with this service provider";
- b) nor is it clear from the claim whether the application is being run on the portable object itself or on the first device, i.e. is the portable object "smart"? All the reader may deduce is that the application is being stored ("lodged"!) at some point in time on the portable object;
- c) the term "resources" is very generic, implying either (or both of)
 - software or
 - hardware;

this point is important as the first device is defined in terms of a second device which does *not* use these resources; it is not clear which "resources" may or may

not be used by the second device.

- d) the fact that the first device is defined in terms of a second device also results in an unclear claim, as no key-lock correspondence is established; a system claim covering both devices would be more appropriate).
- 2. As an illustration of this lack of clarity, the examiner will comment D1 in the light of the claim. While it appears from the application description that its subject-matter should differ strongly from D1, claim 1 seems to lack novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT) in the light of D1 if one considers the unclear features of claim 1 to be non-limitative:
 - D1 discloses a first device (a PDA/wireless phone hybrid) which gives a second device (A POS terminal) access to an application stored on a SIM card (see paragraph [0048] and following) located in its SIM card reader.
- 3. In a similar vein, document D2 shows a method which allows a user to use applications stored on a Linux PDA (the Sharp Zaurus SL-5500, which features two readers for external memories [one for Compact Flash, the other for Secure Digital memory]) via a PC through the use of a VNC client/server set-up.
 - The examiner fails to see which features in the application claim could contribute to the novelty of the claimed subject-matter over D2. It is however not excluded that this is a consequence of the lack of clarity of the claim.
- 4. It is difficult to further assess the novelty and/or the inventive step of the application in its present state. In its present form, the claim even leads the examiner to doubt that the subject-matter of the application actually goes beyond the principles known from the extremely well known NFS filesystem mounting scheme, which allows users to share memory devices of all types on different machines (cf. D4).

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IB2004/002255

A. CLASSI IPC 7	G07F7/10 H04M1/725	ہتہ	6.0833/PR
	Charlington (IDC) or to both national classifi	···*	
	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	cation and IPC	
	S SEARCHED locumentation searched (classification system followed by classificat	lion symbols)	
IPC 7	GO7F HO4M	inon symbols)	
		ভ	
Documenta	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields sea	arched
	**************************************	•	
Electronic d	data base consulted during the International search (name of data base	ase and where practical, search terms used)	
EPO-In	,,,	ase and, where present and	
Eru-1	ternai		
I	•		
	· .		
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re-	Hevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2002/173344 A1 (CUPPS BRYAN T	ET AL)	1
	21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)		
	figure 2 paragraph '0039!		
. 1	paragraph '0048! - paragraph '00!	501	
. 1			
x	"Howto VNC oder wie kann man die	· ·	1
.	des Zaurus-Bildschirms einem grös	sseren	
ļ	Publikum präsentieren ?" SHARP ELECTRONICS EUROPE GMBH, '(Onlinel	
	15 January 2003 (2003-01-15), XPC		
	Retrieved from the Internet:		
J	URL: http://www.zaurus.de/SharpSer	rviceArea/	
	Infoware/FAQs/Info_20030115150712	2/download	
1	> 'retrieved on 2003-12-03! the whole document		
1	life whole document		
1	-	-/	
	l	,	
	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in	annex.
	tegories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the intern	
	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance	or priority date and not in conflict with the cited to understand the principle or theolinvention.	
'E' earlier de	document but published on or after the international	invention 'X' document of particular relevance; the claim	
	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	cannot be considered novel or cannot be involve an inventive step when the docu	e considered to
which is	is cited to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the clat cannot be considered to involve an inver-	imed invention
	ent reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	document is combined with one or more ments, such combination being obvious	other such docu-
'P' documer	int published prior to the international filling date but	in the art.	
	an the priority date claimed actual completion of the international search	'8' document member of the same patent far Date of mailing of the international search	
	·	_	1 Герон
	1 September 2004	13/10/2004	
Name and ma	nailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2	Authorized officer	_
	European Patent Cirice, P.B. 5618 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.	<u> </u>	
	Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Hanon, D	ļ

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB2004/002255

C.(Continua	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	*	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
1	GB 2 377 776 A (WIZARD MOBILE SOLUTIONS LTD) 22 January 2003 (2003-01-22) abstract page 4, line 12 - line 30 page 6, line 7 - line 15 page 7, line 17 - line 26 page 8, line 19 - page 9, line 3		1
	"NFS" THE LINUX TUTORIAL, 'Online! 12 April 2003 (2003-04-12), XP002264026 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.linux-tutorial.info/cgi-bin /display.pl?141&0&224&0&3> 'retrieved on 2003-12-05! the whole document		1
			•

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/IB2004/002255

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002173344	A1	21-11-2002	CA	2441118 A1	26-09-2002
			EP	1370928 A2	17-12-2003
			TW	576084 B	11-02-2004
			WO	02075517 A2	26-09-2002
			US	2003153353 A1	14-08-2003
		, ಳ	US	2003163601 A1	28-08-2003
			US	2003163666 A1	28-08-2003
		• '	US	2003153354 A1	14-08-2003
			US	2003100340 A1	29-05-2003
		(US	2003159026 A1	21-08-2003
		·	US	2003135771 A1	17-07-2003
GB 2377776	A	22-01-2003	EP	1410667 A1	21-04-2004
			WO	03009620 A1	30-01-2003
			WO	03009621 A1	30-01-2003
			GB	2379834 A	19-03-2003
			GB	2377788 A ,B	22-01-2003

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)